

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/760,017	01/12/2001	Michel Le Hir	60,130-984	2860	
26096	7590 04/29/2003				
CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C. 400 WEST MAPLE ROAD SUITE 350			EXAMINER		
			TAMAI, KARL I		
BIRMINGHA	AM, MI 48009		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	2834	2834	
			DATE MAIL ED. 04/20/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

≣

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/760,017	HIR ET AL.					
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tamai IE Karl	2834					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 17 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper repl n places the applica	y to a ition in				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecti IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appr unt of the fee. The appr originally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or				
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: 							
 (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); 							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the							
issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1, 4, 5, and 8.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		HAMILE					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)∐ approved or b)∐ disapp	roved by the Exami	iner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449)	- A Lan	VILLE				
10. Other:		lan was	r 'M				
		Tamai IE Karl Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2834					